

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN S. WILSON,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

ANDREW M. SAUL,¹
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No. 19-826

AMBROSE, Senior District Judge

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pending before the court are Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment. (ECF Nos. 8 and 10). Both parties have filed Briefs in Support of their Motions. (ECF Nos. 9 and 11). After careful consideration of the submissions of the parties, and based on my Opinion set forth below, I am granting Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 8) denying Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. (ECF No. 10).

Plaintiff brought this action for review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying his application for disability insurance benefits pursuant to the Social Security Act. Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), Paul Kovac, held a hearing on January 11, 2018 and a supplemental hearing on June 6, 2018. (ECF No. 6-3). On July 18, 2018, the ALJ found that Plaintiff was not disabled under the Act. (ECF No. 6-2, pp. 14-30).

After exhausting all administrative remedies, Plaintiff filed the instant action with this court. The parties have filed Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment. (ECF Nos. 8 and 10). The issues are now ripe for review.

¹ Andrew M. Saul was sworn in as Commissioner of Social Security on June 18, 2019, replacing Acting Commissioner, Nancy A. Berryhill.

Plaintiff, *inter alia*, argues that the ALJ was an unconstitutionally appointed officer, pursuant to *Lucia v. S.E.C.*, 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018).² This issue was stayed pending the Court of Appeals' decision in several cases, including *Cirko v. Berryhill*, 948 F. 3d 148 (3d Cir. 2020). (ECF No. 14). The stay was continued pending the exhaustion of pertinent appellate proceedings. *Id.* Presently, Defendant has filed a Status Report, stating that it will not seek Supreme Court review of *Cirko*, and disavowing an argument that *Cirko* is inapplicable here. (ECF No. 31, ¶3). As a result, the stay was lifted. (ECF No. 32).

In *Lucia*, the Supreme Court held that ALJs of the Securities and Exchange Commission must be appointed by the President, a court of law, or the Department head. *Lucia*, 138 S. Ct. at 2053. In *Cirko*, our Court of Appeals determined that a Social Security claimant is not required to exhaust her administrative remedies before raising an appointments clause issue with the District Court. *Cirko*, 948 F. 3d at 152, 155. Pursuant to *Lucia* and *Cirko*, the Court concludes that Plaintiff is entitled to have all claims adjudicated by a constitutionally appointed ALJ other than the ALJ who presided over the prior hearing. See *id.* at 159-60; *Bauer v. Saul*, No. 19-2563, 2020 WL 1955595, at *2 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 23, 2020). Consequently, remand is warranted.³

An appropriate order shall follow.

² Following *Lucia*, on July 16, 2018, the Acting Commissioner of Social Security appointed Defendant's ALJs in accordance with the Appointments Clause, United States Constitution Art. II, § 2, cl. 2. This action had no retroactive effect. *Flynn v. Saul*, No. 19-0058, 2020 WL 509164, at *2 (E.D. Pa. Jan. 30, 2020).

³ Additionally, Plaintiff argues that the ALJ erred in the weighing of the opinion evidence in formulating his RFC. (ECF No. 9, pp. 5-8). Specifically, Plaintiff submits that the ALJ found Dr. Rabinovich's opinion to be "persuasive" and gave it great weight but did not include Dr. Rabinovich's stand and walk restrictions in the RFC. *Id.* As such, Plaintiff submits that remand is warranted. *Id.* I need not address this issue, as the hearing on remand will be conducted *de novo*. See, e.g., *Bauer*, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 72011, at *4. Nonetheless, for clarity, I note that the ALJ was not required to accept Dr. Rabinovich's opinions at all, much less do so wholesale. An ALJ, however, must provide sufficient explanation of his or her final determination to provide a reviewing court with the benefit of the factual basis underlying the ultimate disability finding. *Cotter v. Harris*, 642 F.2d 700, 705 (3d Cir. 1981). To that end, an ALJ must set forth the reasons for crediting or discrediting relevant or pertinent medical evidence such that the reviewing court is able to conduct a proper and meaningful review. *Burnett v. Comm'er of SS*, 220 F.3d 112, 121-22 (3d Cir. 2000).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN S. WILSON,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

ANDREW M. SAUL,⁴
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No. 19-826

AMBROSE, Senior District Judge

ORDER OF COURT

THEREFORE, this 2nd day of June, 2020, it is ordered that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 8) is granted and Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 10) is denied.

It is further ordered that the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is hereby vacated and the case is remanded for further administrative proceedings consistent with the foregoing opinion.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Donetta W. Ambrose
Donetta W. Ambrose
United States Senior District Judge

⁴ Andrew M. Saul was sworn in as Commissioner of Social Security on June 18, 2019, replacing Acting Commissioner, Nancy A. Berryhill.